Tourism Demand for Lampang Province : A Quantitative Approach

Komsan Suriya* Faculty of Economics, Chiang Mai University *Corresponding author: suriyakomsan@yahoo.co.th

ABSTRACT

Lampang province is a famous province for tourism in Northern Thailand. The province is an only province in Thailand that let horse carriages operate for tourism. Moreover, there are a lot of tourism sites and events including historical, natural, and cultural sites and events. The research of "Tourism Demand for Lampang : A Quantitative Approach" was aimed to five objectives ; to construct a database of tourists visiting Lampang, to study the tourism demand for Lampang, to study factors affecting revisit to the province, to study factors affecting tourists' expenditures in Lampang, and to investigate the potential of new tourism products in Lampang. Data collection was launched in 2003. The samples were divided into 3 groups: 520 Thai tourists found in Lampang, 500 Chiang Mai people, and 300 foreign tourists found in Chiang Mai. Total number of observations were 1,320.

Major finding for the Thai tourists found in Lampang was that Lampang was seemingly suitable for visitors driving private cars. It was because touring sites in Lampang were scattered and far away from one another. Major group of the tourists were youngsters, aging between 25 - 34 years old. Their salaries ranged between 5,000 - 8,000 baht per month. Mostly they came by group. Moreover, half of the Thai tourists were from the North.

Expectation of the natural beauty was the major drive for the Thai tourists to Lampang. After visiting, the tourists showed no difference between their satisfaction and the prior expectation. In addition, satisfied tourists also tended to purchase souvenirs and try new tourism products. The Thai tourists were statistically predictable to visit Lampang more than once a year (1.27 times). Besides, the number of visit would be increased if expenditures of the trip could be lessened. Income of tourists was another factor positively drove up the number of visit. Male tourists, furthermore, seemed to come back to visit Lampang more often than the female. The appreciation of touring sites especially the natural beauty played the major role for the demand to revisit.

Major finding for Chiang Mai people was that half of them were interested to visit Lampang in the next year round. This finding was supported by information that 20 percent of the Thai tourists found in Lampang were from Chiang Mai. The Chiang Mai market, accordingly, was thus at high potential for Lampang tourism promotion. Chiang Mai people was driven by the old heritage and beautifully natural scenery of Lampang. They mentioned especially the pleasure receivable along the forestry highway route between Chiang Mai and Lampang. However, three major obstacles blocking Chiang Mai people to visit Lampang were no enough free time, demand for living at home, and too high frequency of visit. Moreover, they also mentioned that there was no newly exciting site or event released to attract tourists to Lampang.

Major finding for foreign tourists found in Chiang Mai was that 65 percent of them knew Lampang. They were given information of the province via guide books mostly written in English. The knowledge of Lampang not only arouse the foreign tourists to visit Lampang but also captured them in Lampang's accommodations. The reason was that information made tourists have exact places aiming to visit while the scattered places made them spend more than a day to fulfill. Foreign tourists revealed high interest and expectation in Lampang's natural beauty and the cultural heritage. Accordingly, they mostly aimed for natural touring sites.

Information showed highly significance in attraction of the foreign tourists to Lampang. Unless knowing Lampang, most of foreigners showed no willingness to visit the province. On the other hand, receiving more information of other provinces drove this group of tourists to those provinces instead, and remained not enough time for Lampang. Thus, informational competition should be at high concern for Lampang tourism promotion.

Keywords: Tourism, Demand, Lampang, Quantitative approach

Proceeding presented in the Asian Forum on Business Education Conference (AFBE 2005), 30 November – 2 December 2005, in Ubon Ratchathani, Thailand

1. INTRODUCTION

Lampang province is a famous province for tourism in Northern Thailand. The province is an only province in Thailand that let horse carriages operate for tourism. Moreover, there are a lot of tourism sites and events including historical, natural, and cultural sites and events. Although the fame of Lampang tourism is not comparable to Chiang Mai whose tourism sector is number one in Northern Thailand, Lampang is full of natural, social and cultural capital to serve as a good tourism destination of Thailand.

This study was aimed to five objectives ; to construct a database of tourists visiting Lampang, to study the tourism demand for Lampang, to study factors affecting revisit to the province, to study factors affecting tourists' expenditures in Lampang, and to investigate the potential of new tourism products in Lampang. With the information provided by this study, the local government will be able to enhance the tourism sector of Lampang. The more income from tourism, the more well-being of Lampang people.

2. METHODOLOGY

Data collection was launched in 2003. The samples were divided into 3 groups: 520 Thai tourists found in Lampang, 500 Chiang Mai people, and 300 foreign tourists found in Chiang Mai. Total number of observations were 1,320. Quantitative methods were utilized to analyze various aspects of tourism demand. The methods were listed below.

	Objectives	Methodology
2.1	The database of tourists visiting	Frequency, Percentage, Seasonal
	Lampang	Index, Compare means
2.2	The tourism demand for Lampang	Poison Regression, Logit
2.3	Factors affecting revisit to the province	Logit
2.4	Factors affecting tourists' expenditures	Multiple Classification Analysis
	in Lampang	(MCA)
2.5	The potential of new tourism products in	Logit
	Lampang	

 Table 1 Methodology in the study of tourism demand

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

There are 5 parts of results of the study, the database of tourists visiting Lampang, the tourism demand for Lampang, factors affecting revisit to the province, factors affecting tourists' expenditures in Lampang, and the potential of new tourism products in Lampang. The results were presented as followed.

3.1 The database of tourists visiting Lampang

Most of Thai tourists, 56.5 percent, were from Northern region including Chiang Mai (Table 2). They drove to Lampang (Table 3). Due to the beauty of natural resources beside the highway from Chiang Mai to Lampang, it is good to drive private cars to Lampang. Moreover, to travel to all of touring sites in Lampang white are scattered and distant to one another, tourists will be more comfortable if they have private cars.

Number of Thai tourists Hometowns Percentage 78 1.Bangkok 15.0 2.Central region except 37 7.1 Bangkok 3. Chiang Mai 105 20.2 4. Northern region except 190 36.5 Chiang Mai 5.Eastern region 37 7.1 6. Northeastern region 11.9 62 7. Southern region 11 2.1 Total 520 100.0

Table 2 Hometowns of Thai tourists visiting Lampang

Source : Survey

 Table 3 Vehicles of Thai tourist visiting Lampang

Vehicles	Number of Thai tourists	Percentage
Private car	355	71.14
Charter bus	82	16.43
Mass Transit Bus	29	5.81
Train	22	4.41
Airplane	11	2.20
Total	499	100.00

Source : Survey

Even though people thought that Lampang is a transit town where tourists passed without stop for a night, good accommodations (4 stars hotels) were convincing for tourists who seek for a full-course touring around Lampang which more than a day was needed. Table 4 showed that more than half of Thai tourists decided to spend a night (or more) in Lampang.

Spending night(s)	Number of Thai tourists	Percentage
Yes	302	58.1
No	218	41.9
Total	520	100.0

 Table 4 Decision of spending night(s) in Lampang

More than 200,000 people spent the night in Lampang annually due to the statistics from Tourism Authority of Thailand in Table 5. This implied that a double visited Lampang, approximately 400,000 people a year. The highest season was in November where winter comes and the lowest season was in September when rain pours (Table 6).

Month		Number of	f Tourists	
	1999	2000	2001	2002
January	13,931	16,770	21,373	18,827
February	16,126	22,300	21,380	18,030
March	20,722	17,246	21,859	19,470
April	34,015	14,685	16,264	14,744
May	22,906	18,309	14,686	13,161
June	28,584	19,406	17,030	15,467
July	15,496	8,690	19,883	21,899
August	19,883	19,541	19,365	18,647
September	17,216	14,452	14,687	13,277
October	16,149	16,014	17,199	17,011
November	23,874	23,100	23,633	18,987
December	17,540	18,788	19,335	22,290
Total	246,442	209,301	226,694	211,810

Table 5 Number of tourists spending nights in accommodations in Lampang

Source : Tourism Authority of Thailand

Month	Seasonal Index
January	95.95
February	105.42
March	106.45
April	104.86
May	92.20
June	107.06
July	88.65
August	104.25
September	79.91
October	89.47
November	120.34
December	105.44

Table 6 Seasonal Index of Lampang Tourism

Source : Calculation

Tourists expected a lot about the beauty of nature and the culture. However, they found that that the beauty of nature was a little bit below the expectation (Table 7). The culture was similar to the expectation. No items were higher than the expectation, but rated good (the scores were 3 and above). This results reflected the power of expectation that made people come to visit a touring place.

Table / Dijjerel	nce beiween Ex	рестиноп ини 1тр	ressiveness		g Lumpung
Items	Mean score	Mean score of	t-	sig.	Interpretation
	of	Impressiveness	statistics		
	Expectation				
Beauty of	3.57	3.49	4.086	.000	Different
Nature					
Culture	3.57	3.54	1.086	.278	Similar
Hospitality	3.40	3.32	2.904	.004	Different
Life style of	3.40	3.29	4.252	.000	Different
local people					
Elephants show	3.21	3.19	1.198	.232	Similar
Sight seeing	3.19	3.04	5.103	.000	Different
Souvenirs	2.99	2.99	0.627	.531	Similar
Food	2.96	3.00	-1.320	.188	Similar
Night Life	2.54	2.56	-0.163	.870	Similar
Variety					

Europetation and Immerginan age of minitian

For the public services, the roads especially the highway from Chiang Mai to Lampang was the first impressive of tourists (Table 8). The highway was one of the most beautiful and safe highway in Thailand. This emphasized that the road was a right and worth investment to boost up tourism both for Chiang Mai and Lampang.

Public services	Mean score
Roads	3.57
Cleanliness of places	3.39
Infrastructure	3.32
Safety	3.27
Telephone network	3.20
Pollution control	3.18
Readiness of toilets	3.08

Table 8 Impressiveness of the public services

Source : Survey

The speed of services was the least impressive for private services (Table 9). This was due to Northern culture. The Northern people lives in the cool atmosphere and plentiful of food and drink. The geographical advantage makes them not to rush for anything. Therefore, any service for tourists usually comes slowly as their culture.

Private services	Mean score
Convenience	3.46
Hospitality	3.40
Honesty	3.30
Hygiene	3.30
Value for money	3.27
Shops at touring sites	3.26
Speed of services	3.20

Table 9 Impressiveness of private services

Toilet, as expected, was the first request to improve the tourism sector (Table 10). Not only in Lampang but also in other provinces that there were not enough clean toilets for tourists. The cleanliness of places were also concerned for the improvement.

Items	Mean score
Toilet	2.52
Cleanliness of places	2.41
Shops at touring sites	2.29
Entrance fee of touring sites	2.11
Hospitality of local people	2.06

Table 10 Demand for rapid improvement

Source : Survey

For the final decision to revisit Lampang, 90 percent of Thai tourists said that they would come back to Lampang again (Table 11). With this result, Lampang is still charming for the Thai who would like to escape from the rapid growth of civilization of Chiang Mai. Lampang has its strong marketing position which people usually look over. The perfect place for relaxation where every minute moves slower than in Chiang Mai. Traditional food is cheaper without the sense of mass production. A town which full of smiles both from people and elephants.

	ro	
Decision to revisit	Number of Thai tourists	Percentage
Yes	470	90.6
No	49	9.4
Total	519	100.0

Table 11 Decision to revisit Lampang

Source : Survey

For the foreign tourists, surveyed in Chiang Mai, it was surprising that more than 60 percent of them knew Lampang (Table 12). The little town was mostly presented to international community via guide books (Table 13). Friends and the power of 'word of mouth' was the second powerful source that made tourists know the province. However, only 44 percent of foreign tourists intended to visit Lampang in the trip they visited Thailand (Table 14).

Knowledge about Lampang	Number of foreign	Percentage
	tourists	
Yes	196	65.3
No	104	34.7
Total	300	100.0

Table 12 Do foreign tourists know Lampang?

Table 15 Sources that foreign tourists tearned about Lampung
--

Sources	Number of foreign	Percentage
	tourists	
Guide books	136	45.3
Friends	65	21.7
Documentary on airplanes	30	10.0
Medias in home countries	14	4.7
Television in Thailand	8	2.7
Newspaper in Thailand	7	2.3

Source : Survey

Tuble 14 Intention of foreign i	ourisis (Jouna in Chiang Mai) io	visii Lampung				
Intention to visit Lampang Number of foreign tourists Percentage						
Yes	131	44.0				
No	167	56.0				
Total	298	100.0				

 Table 14 Intention of foreign tourists (found in Chiang Mai) to visit Lampang

Source : Survey

Among foreign tourists who intended to visit Lampang, the market belonged to the segment of 25 - 44 years old (Table 15), income range between \$2,001 - \$4,000 per month (Table 16), and spending one night in Lampang (Table 17). The average nights was 1.08 night.

i wore is ingo of for each rounds in the interface to their Lampung						
Age Number of foreign tourists Percentage						
below 15 years old	0	0.0				
15-24 years old	4	3.1				
25 – 34 years old	52	39.7				
35 – 44 years old	50	38.2				
45 – 54 years old	25	19.1				
55 – 64 years old	0	0.0				
more than 64 years old	0	0.0				
Total	131	100.0				

 Table 15 Age of foreign tourists who intended to visit Lampang

Tuble 10 Moniniy income ru	nge oj joreign iourisi who inien	ueu io visii Lumpung					
Monthly income range Number of foreign tourists Percentage							
below \$1,000	3	2.3					
\$1,001 - \$2,000	25	19.1					
\$2,001 - \$3,000	34	26.0					
\$3,001 - \$4,000	34	26.0					
\$4,001 - \$5,000	28	21.4					
more than \$5,000	7	5.3					
Total	131	100.0					

Table 16 Monthly income range of foreign tourist who intended to visit Lampang

 Table 17 Number of night(s) that foreign tourists intended to spend in Lampang

Number of night(s)	Number of foreign tourists	Percentage
Not spend night	30	22.9
1 night	64	48.9
2 nights	35	26.7
3 nights	1	0.8
4 nights	1	0.8
Total	131	100.0
Average nights	1.08	

Source : Survey

Beauty of nature, as the most expectation of Thai tourists, were also the most powerful reason for international tourists to visit Lampang (Table 18). Foreign tourists also expected to touch the rich of cultural capital as well as social capital via life style of local people. Their most favorite places to visit were natural sites (Table 19).

Reasons	Highest	High	Low	Lowest	Average
Beauty of Nature	87	40	3	1	3.6260
Culture	63	62	6	0	3.4351
Life style of local people	32	90	8	1	3.1679
Hospitality	37	80	10	4	3.1450
Souvenirs	36	67	26	2	3.0458
Sight seeing	26	85	18	2	3.0305
Elephants show	31	75	22	3	3.0229
Food	22	68	34	7	2.8015
Night Life Variety	12	36	71	12	2.3664

Table 18 Reasons to visit Lampang of foreign tourists

Touring sites Number of foreign tourists Percent					
Natural sites	98	74.8			
Cultural sites	34	26.0			
Events and traditional sites	18	13.7			

Table 19 Touring sites that foreign tourists intended to visit in Lampang

The most influential reasons which avoided foreign tourists to visit Lampang was the lack of information (Table 20). People usually be risk-averse. Thus, tourists without information of a touring place usually not to risk their lives and time to visit the place. Without prior plan to visit Lampang, tourists might have not enough time for turning to Lampang. The lack of information might also let other provinces more interesting.

Reasons Highest High Lowest Low Average No information about Lampang 81 23 3.3473 63 0 60 Not enough time 85 22 0 3.2275 33 Other provinces are more interesting 89 45 0 2.9281 Other places in other countries are 33 54 4 76 2.8263 more interesting Lampang is not interesting at all 24 58 77 8 2.5868 20 45 Visiting Lampang several times 86 16 2.4132 Expectation of bad experience 8 46 69 44 2.1078 Bad news about Lampang 6 33 87 4 2.0240 Too high expenditure 4 24 102 37 1.9701

Table 20 Reasons not to visit Lampang of foreign tourists

3.2 The tourism demand for Lampang

The tourism demand, in the economic discipline, is a relationship between the number of visit a place and the cost to visit, the income of visitors, and the characteristics of visitors. In this study, the travel cost model (TCM) was utilized to find the tourism demand. The result of analysis showed in table 21 below.

Table 21 The analysis of number of visiting Lampang using Travel Cost Modelwith Poisson Regression after elimination of insignificant variables

+	log likelihoo log likelihoo log likelihoo	d = -1212.98 d = -1212.98 d = -1212.98	+ 13 11 11			
+Poisson regres	ssion N LF Pr Lo Ps	umber of obs R chi2(4) ob > chi2 og likelihood eudo R2	+ = = = =	465 48.01 0.0000 -1212.9811 0.0194		
time	Coef.	Std. Err.	Z	P>z	[95% Conf.	Interval]
mpercap salary male age _cons	0000823 .0653271 .1277663 .0003557 1.143629	.0000264 .0170609 .0506745 .0024623 .07552	-3.121 3.829 2.521 0.144 15.143	0.002 0.000 0.012 0.885 0.000	0001339 .0318884 .0284462 0044704 .9956125	0000306 .0987658 .2270864 .0051818 1.291645

Source : Calculation using Stata version 6.0

Technically, the number of visit to Lampang was Poison distributed. Thus Poison regression was suitable than OLS in estimating this model. Stata version 6.0 was used fort the estimation.

The result, in table 21, revealed that the number of visit was affected negatively by the cost of visit (mpercap), positively by the income of visitors (salary). Besides, male tourists tended to visit Lampang more often than female.

After elimination of insignificant variable and trying to estimate the model again, all the significant variables were still significant with the same sign as previous estimation (Table 22). This confirmed the correct of the model.

The tourism demand in a broader sense links the decision to visit a place to the attributes of characteristics (the visitors) and the attributes of alternatives (the touring sites). The study of factors affecting decision to visit Lampang of foreign tourists using Logit model were one of the tourism demand in this sense. The result was shown in table 23.

Table 22 The analysis of number of visiting Lampang using Travel Cost Modelwith Poisson Regression after elimination of insignificant variables

Iteration 0:log likelihood = -1212.9917Iteration 1:log likelihood = -1212.9916Iteration 2:log likelihood = -1212.9916+++Poisson regressionNumber of obs = 465LR chi2(3) = 47.98Prob > chi2 = 0.0000Log likelihood = -1212.9916Pseudo R2 = 0.0194timeCoef.Std. Err.zP>z[95% Conf. Interval]
Iteration 0:log likelihood = -1212.9917Iteration 1:log likelihood = -1212.9916Iteration 2:log likelihood = -1212.9916+++Poisson regressionNumber of obs = 465LR chi2(3) = 47.98Prob > chi2 = 0.0000Log likelihood = -1212.9916Pseudo R2 = 0.0194
Iteration 0: log likelihood = -1212.9917 Iteration 1: log likelihood = -1212.9916 Iteration 2: log likelihood = -1212.9916 ++

Source : Calculation using Stata version 6.0

In table 23, the role of information was significant in driving international tourists to visit Lampang. While other attributes of characteristics of visitors showed insignificantly influential to the decision, tourists who knew Lampang tended to go to the province more than the one did not know. They also tended to spend the night in Lampang (Table 24) because they know how to travel with a full-course in Lampang.

Table 23Factors affecting decision to visit Lampang of foreign tourists using
Logit model

Dependent Variable: LAMPANG Method: ML - Binary Logit Sample(adjusted): 1 300 Included observations: 298 Excluded observations: 2 after adjusting endpoints Convergence achieved after 3 iterations Covariance matrix computed using second derivatives

Variable	Coefficient	Std. Error	z-Statistic	Prob.
С	-1.996112	1.080063	-1.848145	0.0646
GENDER	-0.067680	0.292219	-0.231606	0.8168
AGE	-0.008153	0.019516	-0.417771	0.6761
SALARY	0.077707	0.122277	0.635502	0.5251
MARITAL	-0.029030	0.367243	-0.079050	0.9370
KNOW	2.211058	0.334298	6.614027	0.0000
ONLYTHAI	0.131901	0.341369	0.386388	0.6992
DAYALL	0.019132	0.022100	0.865694	0.3867
VISITED	0.267945	0.319527	0.838567	0.4017
	-			
Mean dependent var	0.439597	S.D. depend	lent var	0.497173
S.E. of regression	0.448006	Akaike info	criterion	1.202434
Sum squared resid	58.00496	Schwarz cri	terion	1.314091
Log likelihood	-170.1626	Hannan-Qui	inn criter.	1.247129
Restr. log likelihood	-204.3780	Avg. log lik	elihood	-0.571015
LR statistic (8 df)	68.43086	McFadden R-squared		0.167412
Probability(LR stat)	1.01E-11			
Obs with Dep=0	167	Total obs		298
Obs with Dep=1	131	=		=

Source : Calculation using Eview version 3.0

Table 24 Factors affecting decision to spend night(s) in Lampang of foreign tourists using Logit model

Dependent Variable: SHELTER Method: ML - Binary Logit

Sample(adjusted): 1 300 Included observations: 298 Excluded observations: 2 after adjusting endpoints Convergence achieved after 4 iterations Covariance matrix computed using second derivatives

Variable	Coefficient	Std. Error	z-Statistic	Prob.
С	-2.140966	1.071018	-1.999000	0.0456
GENDER	0.309392	0.294352	1.051096	0.2932
AGE	-0.016555	0.019433	-0.851911	0.3943
SALARY	0.079562	0.121709	0.653712	0.5133
MARITAL	-0.187963	0.362268	-0.518851	0.6039
KNOW	1.662745	0.336929	4.934999	0.0000
ONLYTHAI	0.472125	0.363270	1.299652	0.1937
DAYALL	0.014259	0.025460	0.560050	0.5754
DAYTHAI	-0.019758	0.033605	-0.587935	0.5566
VISITED	0.136201	0.315122	0.432218	0.6656
Mean dependent var	0.338926	S.D. depend	lent var	0.474141
S.E. of regression	0.453032	Akaike info	criterion	1.218594
Sum squared resid	59.10856	Schwarz cri	terion	1.342657
Log likelihood	-171.5704	Hannan-Qu	inn criter.	1.268255
Restr. log likelihood	-190.8156	Avg. log lik	elihood	-0.575740
LR statistic (9 df)	38.49023	McFadden R-squared		0.100857
Probability(LR stat)	1.42E-05			
Obs with Dep=0	197	Total obs		298
Obs with Dep=1	101	_		_

Source : Calculation using Eview version 3.0

Another aspect of tourism demand in the economic discipline, the number of nights spent in Lampang could be studied by the cost of staying in Lampang, the income of visitors, and other attributes of characteristics of visitors. However, due to the cross-sectional analysis, the price of accommodation did not vary. Thus the cost of staying in Lampang was ignored. Poison regression was utilized again. The result showed in table 25 as below.

Table 25 The analysis of the number of nights spent in Lampang using PoissonRegression

$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	_			L			
Poisson regressionNumber of obs = 131 LR chi2(10) = 20.63 Prob > chi2 = 0.0238 Log likelihood = -149.54385Pseudo R2 = 0.0645mightsCoef.Std. Err.zP>z[95% Conf. Interval]gender.3727558.22403931.6640.096.0663532.8118648 .812age0127384.0126587-1.0060.314037549.0120723 .8118648age0127384.0126587-1.0060.314037549.0120723 .818954marital1107298.2376745-0.4660.6415765632.3551036 .3551036onlythai.3055351.28068871.0890.2762446046.8556748 .43yalldayall.0016356.01512460.1080.9140280081.0312794 .0312794daythai.0008437.02156050.0390.9690414141.0431015 visitedvisited0690132.195201-0.3540.7244516002.3135738 .0006153budget10000441.0003364-0.1310.8960007034.0006153 .0006153budget2.0002185.00013021.6780.0930000367.0004737 .223952.9528264	Iteration 0: Iteration 1: Iteration 2: Iteration 3:	log likelihood = log likelihood = log likelihood = log likelihood =	= -149.5653 = -149.54387 = -149.54385 = -149.54385				
nightsCoef.Std. Err.zP>z[95% Conf. Interval]gender.3727558.22403931.6640.096.0663532.8118648age0127384.0126587-1.006 0.314 037549.0120723salary.037501.07678430.488 0.625 1129934.1879954marital1107298.2376745-0.466 0.641 5765632.3551036onlythai.3055351.28068871.089 0.276 2446046.8556748dayall.0016356.01512460.108 0.914 0280081.0312794daythai.0008437.0215605 0.039 0.969 0414141.0431015visited0690132.195201-0.354 0.724 4516002.3135738budget10000441.0003364-0.131 0.896 0007034.0006153budget2.0002185.00013021.6780.0930000367.0004737_cons643347.8143891-0.7900.430-2.23952.9528264	+Poisson regres	ssion Nun LR (Prob Log	hber of obs = chi2(10) = b > chi2 = likelihood =	131 20.63 0.0238 -149.54385		Pseudo R2	= 0.0645
gender age.3727558 -0.127384.22403931.664 0.01265870.096 0663532.8118648 0.0120723salary.037501.07678430.4880.625 0.6411129934.1879954marital1107298.2376745 2.376745-0.4660.641 0.6415765632.3551036onlythai.3055351.28068871.0890.276 0.2762446046.8556748dayall.0016356.01512460.1080.914 0.914.0280081.0312794daythai.0008437.02156050.0390.969 0.969.0414141.0431015visited0690132.195201 0.03364-0.1310.896 0.896.0007034.0006153budget10000441.0003364 0.01302-0.1310.896 0.093.0000367 0.0004737.0004737 0.430.223952.9528264	nights	Coef.	Std. Err.	Z	P>z	[95% Conf.]	[nterval]
age 0127364 .0120387 -1.000 0.314 037349 .0120723 salary .037501 .0767843 0.488 0.625 1129934 .1879954 marital 1107298 .2376745 -0.466 0.641 5765632 .3551036 onlythai .3055351 .2806887 1.089 0.276 2446046 .8556748 dayall .0016356 .0151246 0.108 0.914 0280081 .0312794 daythai .0008437 .0215605 0.039 0.969 0414141 .0431015 visited 0690132 .195201 -0.354 0.724 4516002 .3135738 budget1 0000441 .0003364 -0.131 0.896 0007034 .0006153 budget2 .0002185 .0001302 1.678 0.093 0000367 .0004737 _cons 643347 .8143891 -0.790 0.430 -2.23952 .9528264	gender	.3727558	.2240393	1.664	0.096	0663532	.8118648
marital 1107298 .2376745 -0.466 0.641 5765632 .3551036 onlythai .3055351 .2806887 1.089 0.276 2446046 .8556748 dayall .0016356 .0151246 0.108 0.914 0280081 .0312794 daythai .0008437 .0215605 0.039 0.969 0414141 .0431015 visited 0690132 .195201 -0.354 0.724 4516002 .3135738 budget1 0000441 .0003364 -0.131 0.896 0007034 .0006153 budget2 .0002185 .0001302 1.678 0.093 0000367 .0004737 _cons 643347 .8143891 -0.790 0.430 -2.23952 .9528264	salary	037501	0767843	-1.000	0.514	- 1129934	1879954
onlythai .3055351 .2806887 1.089 0.276 2446046 .8556748 dayall .0016356 .0151246 0.108 0.914 0280081 .0312794 daythai .0008437 .0215605 0.039 0.969 0414141 .0431015 visited 0690132 .195201 -0.354 0.724 4516002 .3135738 budget1 0000441 .0003364 -0.131 0.896 0007034 .0006153 budget2 .0002185 .0001302 1.678 0.093 0000367 .0004737 _cons 643347 .8143891 -0.790 0.430 -2.23952 .9528264	marital	1107298	.2376745	-0.466	0.641	5765632	.3551036
dayall.0016356.01512460.1080.9140280081.0312794daythai.0008437.02156050.0390.9690414141.0431015visited0690132.195201-0.3540.7244516002.3135738budget10000441.0003364-0.1310.8960007034.0006153budget2.0002185.00013021.6780.0930000367.0004737_cons643347.8143891-0.7900.430-2.23952.9528264	onlythai	.3055351	.2806887	1.089	0.276	2446046	.8556748
daythai .0008437 .0215605 0.039 0.969 0414141 .0431015 visited 0690132 .195201 -0.354 0.724 4516002 .3135738 budget1 0000441 .0003364 -0.131 0.896 0007034 .0006153 budget2 .0002185 .0001302 1.678 0.093 0000367 .0004737 _cons 643347 .8143891 -0.790 0.430 -2.23952 .9528264	dayall	.0016356	.0151246	0.108	0.914	0280081	.0312794
visited 0690132 .195201 -0.354 0.724 4516002 .3135738 budget1 0000441 .0003364 -0.131 0.896 0007034 .0006153 budget2 .0002185 .0001302 1.678 0.093 0000367 .0004737 _cons 643347 .8143891 -0.790 0.430 -2.23952 .9528264	daythai	.0008437	.0215605	0.039	0.969	0414141	.0431015
budget1 0000441 .0003364 -0.131 0.896 0007034 .0006153 budget2 .0002185 .0001302 1.678 0.093 0000367 .0004737 _cons 643347 .8143891 -0.790 0.430 -2.23952 .9528264	visited	0690132	.195201	-0.354	0.724	4516002	.3135738
budget2 .0002185 .0001302 1.678 0.093 0000367 .0004737 _cons 643347 .8143891 -0.790 0.430 -2.23952 .9528264	budget1	0000441	.0003364	-0.131	0.896	0007034	.0006153
_cons643347 .8143891 -0.790 0.430 -2.23952 .9528264	budget2	.0002185	.0001302	1.678	0.093	0000367	.0004737
	_cons	643347	.8143891	-0.790	0.430	-2.23952	.9528264

Source : Calculation using Stata version 6.0

In this model, the income of visitors (salary) was insignificant to the number of night in Lampang but the budget which tourists would spend in Lampang (budget2) play the positive role instead. Moreover, the male tourists tended to spend more nights in Lampang more than female.

3.3 Factors affecting revisit to the province

The demand to revisit a place can be studied by Logit model. The linkage between the decision to revisit Lampang and its influential factors were displayed in table 26.

Table 26 Factors affecting decision of Thai tourists to revisit Lampang

Dependent Variable:	C_BACK Met	thod: ML - Bi	nary Logit	
Sample(adjusted): 1 5	20			
Included observations	: 493			
Excluded observation	s: 27 after adju	sting endpoin	ts	
Convergence achieved	d after 6 iterati	ons		
Covariance matrix con	mputed using s	second derivat	ives	
Variable	Coefficient	Std. Error	z-Statistic	Prob.
С	-6.501111	1.350634	-4.813378	0.0000
MPERCAP	0.002164	0.000707	3.058691	0.0022
BEAUTY1	2.228244	0.392933	5.670793	0.0000
MALE	-0.881920	0.381512	-2.311643	0.0208
SALARY	0.461498	0.142349	3.242019	0.0012
DAYS	-0.265827	0.135277	-1.965065	0.0494
LUM_P	0.658318	0.444685	1.480413	0.1388
Mean dependent var	0.902637	S.D. dependent var		0.296753
S.E. of regression	0.263611	Akaike info criterion		0.498463
Sum squared resid	33.77252	Schwarz criterion		0.558105
Log likelihood	-115.8712	Hannan-Quinn criter.		0.521881
Restr. log likelihood	-157.3903	Avg. log likelihood		-0.235033
LR statistic (6 df)	83.03824	McFadden H	R-squared	0.263797
Probability(LR stat)	8.88E-16			

48

445

Total obs

493

Source : Calculation using Eview version 3.0

Obs with Dep=0

Obs with Dep=1

Tourists who spent a lot of money in Lampang tended to revisit the province (the variable MPERCAP in table 26). It was not surprising because Lampang is good for shopping and food. Visitors who were impressed by the beauty of nature (BEAUTY1) tended to make another trip to Lampang. Female tourists would visit the town again rather than male (the negative sign for the variable MALE). This might probably because there was not much adventurous sites for male but shopping destinations for female instead. The richer the tourists, the more they would revisit (SALARY). This could be said that that Lampang is a 'normal goods' in the sense of economics. However, the more day they spent, the less probability to revisit (the negative sign for the variable DAYS). This was because Lampang could be traveled around by 2-3 days. If a tourist traveled much at a time, there would nothing for him or her to go to at another time.

3.4 Factors affecting tourists' expenditure in Lampang

The expenditure of tourists can be studied by the Multiple Classification Analysis (MCA). The result was shown in Figure 1 below.



Figure 1 MCA Result of Expenditure Analysis of Thai tourists

The overall expenditure in Lampang was around 746 baht for a person in a day. Separating tourists into two groups, it was almost the same for tourists who did and did not spend the night in Lampang. Rather, separating tourists for their main purpose in visiting Lampang showed some more interesting figures. The biggest spender in Lampang was businessmen (or businesswomen) who stayed in Lampang at least a night. They spent about 1,600 baht a person a day, a more than double of the average spending. The second biggest group was people who came to visit friends or relatives without staying in Lampang. They spent around 983 baht. This group tended to pay much because they had to buy something for their friends or relatives. Moreover, the third largest group was people who came for public affair and spent a night or more in the province. They paid much because their offices would take care of their bills.

3.5 The potential of new tourism products in Lampang

Lampang offered 4 new tourism products in 2003. First, *Klong Pu Ja Festival* with Ceramic Fair. Second, *Wang Huar bamboo raft community at the "Lampang Lake"*. Third, *San Kam Paeng – Jae Sorn Route*. Finally, *Ban Sa-ded agricultural community*. The local government was not sure about the success of the new products. Thus this study investigated tourists who were interesting to visit or attend the new products. Logit model was used to analyze. The results were shown in table 27 -30.

Klong Pu Ja Festival is a cultural event. In this event, local Lampang people dress in traditional clothes and come out to celebrate with large drums (Klong). The name of the large drum is Pu Ja which means the respect to the Buddha. Meanwhile, the Ceramic Fair is a commercial event. Ceramics is the top product of Lampang. People come from all around the country to buy ceramics. The local government would like to combine these two events in order to gather massive people for both tourism and commercial purpose in one moment.

Table 27 Factors affecting decision to attend Klong Pu Ja Festival with CeramicFair

Dependent Variable: THINK1 Method: ML – Binary Logit Sample(adjusted): 1 520 Included observations: 508 Excluded observations: 12 after adjusting endpoints Convergence achieved after 3 iterations Covariance matrix computed using second derivatives

Variable	Coefficient	Std. Error	z-Statistic	Prob.
С	-3.431300	0.692818	-4.952669	0.0000
TOILET	0.334988	0.150480	2.226125	0.0260
WELCOME	0.730305	0.184450	3.959373	0.0001
Mean dependent var	0.519685	S.D. dependent var		0.500105
S.E. of regression	0.487523	Akaike info criterion		1.342179
Sum squared resid	120.0276	Schwarz criterion		1.367162
Log likelihood	-337.9134	Hannan-Quinn criter.		1.351976
Restr. log likelihood	-351.7250	Avg. log likelihood		-0.665184
LR statistic (2 df)	27.62305	McFadden R-squared		0.039268
Probability(LR stat)	1.00E-06		•	
Obs with Dep=0	244	Total obs		508
Obs with Dep=1	264	_	-	_

Source : Calculation using Eview version 3.0

For the success of the event, good toilet management is the significant factors to cope with massive people. Moreover, the hospitality of Lampang people to welcome tourists are needed to ensure the satisfaction of the visitors.

Wang Hur is a community which occupied a very large well. The well was once utilized as a mine. After the mine was over, the well was filled by water. Local people of *Wang Hur* set bamboo rafts into the the well. They operate the community as a restaurant with romantic atmosphere.

The key success factors found from the Logit model was the hospitality of *Wang Hur* local people. Visitors would not go to risky places where local people did not want to welcome strangers. Moreover, the decoration of lights would create the atmosphere of the community especially in the night time to be a nicely romantic place to visit.

Table 28 Factors affecting decision to visit Wang Huar bamboo raft community at the "Lampang Lake"

Dependent Variable: THINK2 Method: ML - Binary Logit

Sample(adjusted): 1 520 Included observations: 468 Excluded observations: 52 after adjusting endpoints Convergence achieved after 3 iterations Covariance matrix computed using second derivatives

Variable	Coefficient	Std. Error	z-Statistic	Prob.
С	-2.518621	0.669780	-3.760370	0.0002
WELCOME	0.503257	0.184145	2.732936	0.0063
LIGHT1	0.275286	0.099580	2.764485	0.0057
Mean dependent var	0.476496	S.D. depend	ent var	0.499982
S.E. of regression	0.492421	Akaike info	criterion	1.360890
Sum squared resid	112.7526	Schwarz crit	terion	1.387483
Log likelihood	-315.4483	Hannan-Qui	nn criter.	1.371354
Restr. log likelihood	-323.8756	Avg. log lik	elihood	-0.674035
LR statistic (2 df)	16.85451	McFadden H	R-squared	0.026020
Probability(LR stat)	0.000219		-	
Obs with Dep=0	245	Total obs		468
Obs with Dep=1	223	_		

Source : Calculation using Eview version 3.0

San Kam Pang – Jae Sorn Route is an alternative route between Chiang Mai and Lampang. The route is mountainous and slippery in the raining season. However, the government invested to pave the road for tourism purpose. The road nowadays is approachable but good for only four-wheeled drives.

Key success factors for tourism purpose found from the analysis was the toilet management along the route. Since the road is mountainous, it was not comfortable for female tourists to travel on this route without clean toilet available. Moreover, the travelers should have much money. The four-wheeled drives are needed. Necessary survival tools should also prepared in cars.

Table 29 Factors affecting decision to ride on San Kam Paeng – Jae Sorn Route

Dependent Variable: THINK3 Method: ML - Binary Logit

Sample(adjusted): 1 520 Included observations: 502 Excluded observations: 18 after adjusting endpoints Convergence achieved after 3 iterations Covariance matrix computed using second derivatives

Variable	Coefficient	Std. Error	z-Statistic	Prob.
С	-1.466845	0.471929	-3.108194	0.0019
MONEY	6.82E-05	2.45E-05	2.779005	0.0055
TOILET	0.430848	0.145703	2.957041	0.0031
Mean dependent var	0.525896	S.D. dependent var		0.499827
S.E. of regression	0.492337	Akaike info criterion		1.363469
Sum squared resid	120.9554	Schwarz criterion		1.388680
Log likelihood	-339.2307	Hannan-Quinn criter.		1.373360
Restr. log likelihood	-347.2863	Avg. log likelihood		-0.675758
LR statistic (2 df)	16.11120	McFadden R-squared		0.023196
Probability(LR stat)	0.000317		-	
Obs with Dep=0	238	Total obs		502
Obs with Dep=1	264	_		

Source : Calculation using Eview version 3.0

The last new tourism products, *Ban Sa-Ded* agricultural community. They grows pineapples. The community want to raise income from letting people to come see their agricultural life style. Home stay is also planned to offer by some families.

Table 30 Factors affecting decision to visit Ban Sa-ded agricultural community

Dependent Variable: THINK4 Method: ML - Binary Logit

Sample(adjusted): 1 520 Included observations: 485 Excluded observations: 35 after adjusting endpoints Convergence achieved after 3 iterations Covariance matrix computed using second derivatives

Variable	Coefficient	Std. Error	z-Statistic	Prob.
С	-4.753059	0.807249	-5.887971	0.0000
MONEY	6.54E-05	2.55E-05	2.568997	0.0102
BUY1	0.549947	0.144431	3.807689	0.0001
TOILET	0.616248	0.173603	3.549750	0.0004
AGE	-0.024503	0.010242	-2.392425	0.0167
ANI1	0.361808	0.149542	2.419445	0.0155
Mean dependent var	0.377320	S.D. dependent var		0.485216
S.E. of regression	0.458019	Akaike info criterion		1.223957
Sum squared resid	100.4852	Schwarz cri	terion	1.275720
Log likelihood	-290.8096	Hannan-Qui	inn criter.	1.244295
Restr. log likelihood	-321.4273	Avg. log lik	elihood	-0.599607
LR statistic (5 df)	61.23534	McFadden I	R-squared	0.095255
Probability(LR stat)	6.75E-12		_	
Obs with Dep=0	302	Total obs		485
Obs with Dep=1	183			=

Source : Calculation using Eview version 3.0

Indeed, the key success factors for *Ban Sa-Ded* agricultural community is the money of tourists. Visitors expect that they may probably have to buy agricultural products and pay something for families who offer the home stay. Thus, they expect to bring some money for the trip (the positive sign of variable MONEY). The tourists who tended to visit the community are those who love shopping (the positive sign of variable BUY1). Besides, clean toilets should be provided. Younger visitors should be the target as the sign of variable AGE was negative. Lastly, the animal lover is another segment which may be potential to the tourism product (the positive sign of variable ANI1).

4. CONCLUSION

Major finding for the Thai tourists found in Lampang was that Lampang was seemingly suitable for visitors driving private cars. It was because touring sites in Lampang were scattered and far away from one another. Major group of the tourists were youngsters, aging between 25 - 34 years old. Their salaries ranged between 5,000 - 8,000 baht per month. Mostly they came by group. Moreover, half of the Thai tourists were from the North.

Expectation of the natural beauty was the major drive for the Thai tourists to Lampang. After visiting, the tourists showed no difference between their satisfaction and the prior expectation. In addition, satisfied tourists also tended to purchase souvenirs and try new tourism products. The Thai tourists were statistically predictable to visit Lampang more than once a year (1.27 times). Besides, the number of visit would be increased if expenditures of the trip could be lessened. Income of tourists was another factor positively drove up the number of visit. Male tourists, furthermore, seemed to come back to visit Lampang more often than the female. The appreciation of touring sites especially the natural beauty played the major role for the demand to revisit.

Major finding for Chiang Mai people was that half of them were interested to visit Lampang in the next year round. This finding was supported by information that 20 percent of the Thai tourists found in Lampang were from Chiang Mai. The Chiang Mai market, accordingly, was thus at high potential for Lampang tourism promotion.

Chiang Mai people was driven by the old heritage and beautifully natural scenery of Lampang. They mentioned especially the pleasure receivable along the forestry highway route between Chiang Mai and Lampang. However, three major obstacles blocking Chiang Mai people to visit Lampang were no enough free time, demand for living at home, and too high frequency of visit. Moreover, they also mentioned that there was no newly exciting site or event released to attract tourists to Lampang.

Major finding for foreign tourists found in Chiang Mai was that 65 percent of them knew Lampang. They were given information of the province via guide books mostly written in English. The knowledge of Lampang not only arouse the foreign tourists to visit Lampang but also captured them in Lampang's accommodations. The reason was that information made tourists have exact places aiming to visit while the scattered places made them spend more than a day to fulfill. Foreign tourists revealed high interest and expectation in Lampang's natural beauty and the cultural heritage. Accordingly, they mostly aimed for natural touring sites.

Information showed highly significance in attraction of the foreign tourists to Lampang. Unless knowing Lampang, most of foreigners showed no willingness to visit the province. On the other hand, receiving more information of other provinces drove this group of tourists to those provinces instead, and remained not enough time for Lampang. Thus, informational competition should be at high concern for Lampang tourism promotion.

5. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author is grateful to Faculty of Economics, Chiang Mai University for her financial support in the fiscal year 2004.

6. REFERENCES

- Chase, Lisa C., et al (1998). "Ecotourism Demand and Differential Pricing of National Park Access in Costa Rica." Land Economics, November, 74(4): pp.466-482
- Cole, Shu Tian. 2000. Service Quality Dimensions Affecting Nature Tourists' Intention to Revisit. Paper submitted to Department of Parks, recreation ,and Tourism, University of Missouri-Columbia.

Domencich, Thomas and Daniel McFadden (1975). **Urban Travel Demand : A Behavioral Analysis**. Amsterdam: North-Holland Publishing Company

Greene, William H. 2003. Econometric Analysis. 5th ed. New Jersey: Prentice Hall.

Johnston, J. (1991). Econometric Methods. 3rd ed. Singapore: McGraw-Hill.

Judge, George, et al (1988). Introduction to the Theory and Practice of Econometrics. 2nd ed. New York: John Wiley and Sons

Mingsarn Kaosa-ard. 1997. A Study for Preparing a Master Plan for Tourism Development of Thailand. Bangkok: Thailand Development Research Institute. (*in Thai*)

Petrick, James F. 2004. "First Timers' and Repeaters' Perceived Value." Journal of Travel Research, 43 (August) : pp. 29-38

 Suri, Rajneesh and Kent B. Monroe. 2003. "The Effects of Time Constraints on Consumers' Judgments of Prices and products." Journal of Consumer Research, 30 (June): pp. 92-104